

Message

---

**From:** Mugdan.Walter@epamail.epa.gov [Mugdan.Walter@epamail.epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 12/2/2010 8:02:20 PM  
**To:** Klee, Ann (GE, Corporate) [Ann.Klee@ge.com]  
**CC:** schaaf.eric@epa.gov  
**BCC:** Mugdan.Walter@epamail.epa.gov  
**Subject:** RE: Schedule question

OK, thanks. I'll prepare a short message and send it to you.

(Is it your understanding that, if EPA issues its ¶15.b. decision after Dec. 13, that GE would still be willing to respond in 28, rather than 30 days?)

From: "Klee, Ann (GE, Corporate)" <Ann.Klee@ge.com>  
To: Walter Mugdan/R2/USEPA/US@EPA  
Cc: Eric Schaaf/R2/USEPA/US@EPA  
Date: 12/02/2010 03:00 PM  
Subject: RE: Schedule question

---

Walter -- That's my understanding.

As far as I'm concerned, this e-mail exchange can serve to memorialize that agreement. If you'd rather something more formal, by letter or e-mail, that's fine with me too.

ark

---

**From:** Mugdan.Walter@epamail.epa.gov [<mailto:Mugdan.Walter@epamail.epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 02, 2010 2:57 PM  
**To:** Klee, Ann (GE, Corporate)  
**Cc:** schaaf.eric@epa.gov  
**Subject:** Schedule question

Ann,

It's my understanding from Bob Sussman that GE would be willing to make its opt in/out decision by January 10 if EPA issues its ¶15.b. decision on December 13. In other words, you'd make your decision in 28 days instead of 30.

If that is your understanding as well, can we have a short exchange to memorialize it? I can initiate the communication, or you can initiate if you prefer. We can do it by letter or by email, which might be simpler.

-Walter